

Transport on directed percolation clusters

Hans-Karl Janssen and Olaf Stenull

Institut für Theoretische Physik III, Heinrich-Heine-Universität, Universitätsstraße 1, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

(Received 30 November 2000; published 24 January 2001)

We study random lattice networks consisting of resistorlike and diodelike bonds. For investigating the transport properties of these random resistor diode networks we introduce a field-theoretic Hamiltonian amenable to renormalization group analysis. We focus on the average two-port resistance at the transition from the nonpercolating to the directed percolating phase and calculate the corresponding resistance exponent ϕ to two-loop order. Moreover, we determine the backbone dimension D_B of directed percolation clusters to two-loop order. We obtain a scaling relation for D_B that is in agreement with well known scaling arguments.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.63.025103

PACS number(s): 64.60.Ak, 05.60.-k, 72.80.Ng

Percolation [1] is a leading paradigm for disorder. It provides an intuitively appealing and transparent model of the irregular geometry which occurs in disordered systems. Moreover, it is a prototype of a phase transition. Though the usual isotropic percolation (IP) has attracted most attention, directed percolation (DP) [2] is a sexy model for study as well. DP shows many qualitatively new features not appearing in IP. DP is perhaps the simplest model resulting in branching self-affine objects. It has many potential applications, including fluid flow through porous media under gravity, hopping conductivity in a strong electric field [3], crack propagation [4], and the propagation of surfaces at depinning transitions [5]. Furthermore, it is related to epidemics with a bias [6] and self-organized critical models [7]. While the transport properties of IP have been studied extensively [8–13], relatively little is known about transport in DP. The transport properties of DP have not been addressed hitherto by using sophisticated analytic methods such as renormalized field theory.

A model which captures both IP and DP is the random resistor diode network (RDN) introduced by Redner [14–16]. A RDN consists of a d -dimensional hypercubic lattice in which nearest-neighbor sites are connected by a resistor, a positive diode (conducting only in a distinguished direction), a negative diode (conducting only opposite to the distinguished direction), or an insulator with respective probabilities p , p_+ , p_- , and $q=1-p-p_+-p_-$. In the three-dimensional phase diagram (pictured as a tetrahedron spanned by the four probabilities) one finds a nonpercolating and three percolating phases. The percolating phases are isotropic, positively directed, or negatively directed. Between the phases there are surfaces of continuous transitions. All four phases meet along a multicritical line, where $0 \leq r := p_+ = p_- \leq 1/2$ and $p = p_c(r)$. On the entire multicritical line, i.e., independently of r , one finds the scaling properties of usual isotropic percolation ($r=0$). For the crossover from IP to DP see, e.g., Ref. [17].

In this Rapid Communication we focus on the vicinity of the critical surface separating the nonpercolating and the positively directed phase. Here, typical clusters are anisotropic and they are characterized by two different correlation lengths: ξ_{\parallel} (parallel to the distinguished direction) and ξ_{\perp} (perpendicular to it). As one approaches the critical surface,

the two correlation lengths diverge with the exponents ν_{\parallel} and ν_{\perp} of the DP universality class.

In the first part of this paper we study the average resistance between two connected sites x and x' when an external current I is injected at x and withdrawn at x' . We choose $\mathbf{n} = 1/\sqrt{d}(1, \dots, 1)$ for the distinguished direction. We assume that the bonds $\underline{b}_{\langle i,j \rangle}$ between two nearest-neighboring sites i and j are directed so that $\underline{b}_{\langle i,j \rangle} \cdot \mathbf{n} > 0$. The directed bonds obey the nonlinear Ohm's law

$$\sigma_{i,j}(V_j - V_i)[V_j - V_i] = I_{i,j}, \quad (1)$$

where V_i is the potential at site i and $I_{i,j}$ denotes the current flowing from j to i . The bond conductances $\sigma_{i,j}$ are random variables taking on the values σ , $\sigma\theta(V)$, $\sigma\theta(-V)$, and 0 with respective probabilities p , p_+ , p_- , and q . σ is a positive constant and θ denotes the Heaviside function. Note that the diodes are idealized: under forward-bias voltage they behave as ‘‘ohmic’’ resistors, whereas they are insulating under backward-bias voltage.

A central role in our theory is played by the power

$$P(\{V\}) = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sigma_{i,j}(V_j - V_i)[V_j - V_i]^2 \quad (2)$$

dissipated on the network. The sum in Eq. (2) is taken over all bonds of the lattice. Following an idea by Stephen [18] and its generalization to networks of nonlinear resistors by Harris [19] we exploit correlation functions of $\psi_{\lambda}(x) = \exp(i\lambda V_x)$ as generating functions of the resistance $R(x, x')$ between x and x' . Note that $\lambda = iI$ is an imaginary current. With help of the saddle point method (the integration is not Gaussian due to the θ functions) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \psi_{\lambda}(x) \psi_{-\lambda}(x') \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \int \prod_i dV_i \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} P(\{V\}) + i\lambda(V_x - V_{x'}) \right] \\ & \propto \exp \left[-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} R(x, x') \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

provided that the condition $I^2 \gg \sigma$ holds. Z in Eq. (3) stands for the usual normalization.

We are interested in the average $\langle \cdots \rangle_C$ of R over all diluted lattice configurations which we will denote by M_R . Hence, we switch to D -fold replicated voltages $V_i \rightarrow \vec{V}_i = (V_i^{(1)}, \dots, V_i^{(D)})$ and imaginary currents $\lambda_i \rightarrow \vec{\lambda}_i = (\lambda_i^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_i^{(D)})$. The replication procedure induces the effective Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{rep}} = -\ln \left\langle \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} P(\{\vec{V}\}) \right] \right\rangle_C. \quad (4)$$

For technical reasons [20] we switch to discretized voltages $\vec{\theta}$ and currents $\vec{\lambda}$ taking values on a discrete D -dimensional torus. For the saddle point method to be reliable we work near the limit when all the components of $\vec{\lambda}$ are equal and continue to large imaginary values. Accordingly, we set [19] $\lambda^{(\alpha)} = i\lambda_0 + \xi^{(\alpha)}$ with real positive λ_0 and $\xi^{(\alpha)}$, $\sum_{\alpha=1}^D \xi^{(\alpha)} = 0$, and impose the conditions $\lambda_0^2 \ll D^{-1}$ and $\xi^2 \ll 1$.

To refine H_{rep} towards a field theoretic Hamiltonian, we expand H_{rep} in terms of $\psi_{\vec{\lambda}}(x)$. The steps are analogous to those in Ref. [19] and are skipped here for brevity. The so obtained expression is converted into a Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson-type functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = \int d^d x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{\lambda} \neq 0} \psi_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) \right. \\ \times [\tau - \nabla^2 + w \vec{\lambda}^2 + (\theta(\lambda_0) - \theta(-\lambda_0)) \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla] \psi_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \left. + \frac{g}{6} \sum_{\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}', \vec{\lambda} + \vec{\lambda}' \neq 0} \psi_{-\vec{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{-\vec{\lambda}'}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\vec{\lambda} + \vec{\lambda}'}(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

by applying the usual coarse graining procedure. The parameter τ specifies the ‘‘distance’’ from the critical surface under consideration. The vector \mathbf{v} lies in the distinguished direction, $\mathbf{v} = v \mathbf{n}$. τ and v depend on the three probabilities p , p_+ , and p_- . w is the coarse grained analog of σ^{-1} . In the limit $w \rightarrow 0$ our Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} describes the usual purely geometric DP. Indeed \mathcal{H} leads for $w \rightarrow 0$ to exactly the same perturbation series as obtained in Refs. [21–23].

We proceed with standard methods of field theory [24] and perform a diagrammatic perturbation calculation up to two-loop order. As in our previous work on transport in IP [9–13], the principle propagator consists of an conducting and an insulating part. Hence, the principle Feynman diagrams can be decomposed into conducting diagrams consisting of conducting and insulating propagators. These conducting diagrams can be interpreted as being directed networks themselves. This real-world interpretation leads to a substantial simplification of the actual calculation. Instead of carrying out tedious summations over loop currents, we just have to determine the total resistance of the conducting diagrams. The remaining steps in calculating the diagrams are well known from the field theory of DP [21–23].

Renormalization group analysis provides us with the scaling behavior of the correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathbf{0}) \psi_{-\vec{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ = |\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^{1-d-\eta} f_1 \left(\frac{x_{\parallel}}{|\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^z} \right) \\ \times \left\{ 1 + w \vec{\lambda}^2 |\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^{\phi/v_{\perp}} f_{w,1} \left(\frac{x_{\parallel}}{|\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^z} \right) + \cdots \right\} \\ = x_{\parallel}^{(1-d-\eta)/z} f_2 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^z}{x_{\parallel}} \right) \left\{ 1 + w \vec{\lambda}^2 x_{\parallel}^{\phi/v_{\parallel}} f_{w,2} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^z}{x_{\parallel}} \right) + \cdots \right\}, \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

where η , v_{\perp} , and $z = v_{\parallel}/v_{\perp}$ are the critical exponents for DP known to second order in ϵ -expansion [22,23]. f_1 , f_2 , $f_{w,1}$, and $f_{w,2}$ are scaling functions. In Eq. (6) we introduced the resistance exponent ϕ . Exploiting the fact that the correlation function in Eq. (6) is a generating function for M_R [cf. Eq. (3)] we deduce that $M_R \sim |x_{\parallel}|^{\phi/v_{\parallel}}$ if measured parallel to the distinguished direction. For measurements in other directions it is appropriate to choose a length scale L and to express the longitudinal and the transverse coordinates in terms of L : $|\mathbf{x}_{\perp}| \sim L$ and $x_{\parallel} \sim L^z$. With this choice the scaling function $f_{w,1}$ reduces to a constant and we obtain $M_R \sim L^{\phi/v_{\perp}}$. For the resistance exponent we find in ϵ -expansion

$$\phi = 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{24} + \frac{151 - 314 \ln(4/3)}{6912} \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3), \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon = 5 - d$. Note that ϕ is larger than the corresponding resistance exponent for the random resistor network (RRN) [25,9]. This is intuitively plausible, since long tortuous paths that contribute to the macroscopic conductance in RRN are suppressed in DP.

Now we compare Eq. (7) to the few numerical results available in the literature. We are not aware of any numerical results for ϕ itself. However, Redner and Mueller [26] determined the conductivity exponent $t = \phi + (d-1)v_{\perp} + v_{\parallel}$ in two dimensions by Monte Carlo simulations: $t(d=2) = 0.6 \pm 0.10$. Arora *et al.* [27] did analog and numerical simulations leading to $t = 0.73 \pm 0.10$. Another value for comparison is $t \approx 0.7$ obtained by Redner [15] from a real space renormalization group calculation. Crudely evaluating the ϵ -expansion of t for small spatial dimensions leads inevitably to poor quantitative predictions. Therefore, it is appropriate to improve the expansion by incorporating rigorously known features. By carrying out a rational approximation which takes into account that $t(d=1) = 0$ we obtain the interpolation formula

$$t \approx \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right) (2 + 0.2083\epsilon + 0.0604\epsilon^2), \quad (8)$$

which leads to $t(d=2) \approx 0.8$.

The second part of this paper is devoted to the backbone dimension in DP. The backbone between two sites x and x' is defined, apart from unimportant Wheatstone-bridge-type configurations, as the union of all bonds carrying current when I is inserted at x and withdrawn at x' . The average

number of bonds belonging to the backbone is referred to as its mass M_B . For the purpose of calculating the backbone dimension D_B we assume that the bonds obey a generalized Ohm's law,

$$\sigma_{i,j}(V_j - V_i)[V_j - V_i][V_j - V_i]^{1/r-1} = I_{i,j}. \quad (9)$$

The parameter r measures the nonlinearity of the bond. $\sigma_{i,j}(V_j - V_i)$ takes on the same values as in the first part. The field-theoretic Hamiltonian for the generalized RDN is given by Eq. (5) with $w\tilde{\lambda}^2$ replaced by $-w_r \sum_{\alpha=a}^D (-i\lambda^{(\alpha)})^{r+1}$.

In the following we are going to use that the average resistance of the generalized RDN, M_{R_r} , and the backbone mass are related via $M_B \sim \lim_{r \rightarrow -1^+} M_{R_r}$ (see, e.g., Ref. [11]). A two-loop calculation analogous to that in part one reveals that the coupling proportional to w_r does not require an individual renormalization in the limit $r \rightarrow -1^+$. As a consequence we obtain

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow -1^+} \phi_r / \nu_{\perp} = z - \eta, \quad (10)$$

at least to second order in ϵ . From the generalized version of Eq. (6) we deduce that the backbone mass scales as

$$M_B = |\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^{\phi-1/\nu_{\perp}} f_{w,1} \left(\frac{x_{\parallel}}{|\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^z} \right). \quad (11)$$

For self-affine objects the notion of fractal dimension is less straightforward than for self-similar objects. To determine the fractal dimension of the DP backbone one considers a $d-1$ -dimensional hyperplane with an orientation perpendicular to x_{\parallel} . The cut is a self-similar object with the fractal dimension

$$d_{\text{cut}} = D_B - 1, \quad (12)$$

where D_B is the local fractal dimension of the backbone [28]. According to Eq. (11) the mass of the cut scales like

$$M_{\text{cut}} = |\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^{\phi-1/\nu_{\perp}} x_{\parallel}^{-1} f_{w,1} \left(\frac{x_{\parallel}}{|\mathbf{x}_{\perp}|^z} \right). \quad (13)$$

By choosing once more $|\mathbf{x}_{\perp}| \sim L$ and $x_{\parallel} \sim L^z$ we find that $M_{\text{cut}} \sim L^{\phi-1/\nu_{\perp}-z}$. This leads via Eqs. (12) and (10) to

$$D_B = 1 + \phi - 1/\nu_{\perp} - z = 1 - \eta = d - 2\beta/\nu_{\perp}, \quad (14)$$

where $\beta = \nu_{\perp}(d-1+\eta)/2$ is the DP order parameter exponent known to second order in ϵ [22,23].

Equation (14) is in agreement with scaling arguments [29], yielding that the fractal dimension of the transverse cut through a DP cluster with local dimension d_f is $d_f - 1 = d - 1 - \beta/\nu_{\perp}$. The analogous cut through the backbone can be viewed as the intersection of the cut through the cluster and the clusters backward oriented pendant [15,27]. Hence, the codimension of the backbone cut is twice the codimension β/ν_{\perp} of the cluster cut, which leads again to Eq. (14).

We conclude with a few remarks. Our approach gives Eq. (14) perturbatively to second order in ϵ , while the scaling arguments leading to Eq. (14) are exact. Hence, Eq. (14) has a manifestation in the renormalization group framework in form of some Ward identity. The fact that w_{-1} renormalizes trivially to two-loop order is reminiscent of this Ward identity. It is an interesting issue for future work to identify the Ward identity and its underlying symmetry. Our result for the resistance exponent ϕ is for dimensions close to 5 the most accurate analytic estimates that we know of. In two dimensions our results show reasonable agreement with the known numerical results. It is certainly desirable to have more and firmer numerical data for comparison with our analytic results, in particular in three dimensions. We hope that this letter triggers further simulations of transport in DP.

We acknowledge support by the Sonderforschungsbereich 237 "Unordnung und große Fluktuationen" of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. We thank S. Redner for bringing our attention to Ref. [27] and for making us aware of an error in our initial calculation.

-
- [1] For a review on percolation see, e.g., A. Bunde and S. Havlin, *Fractals and Disordered Systems* (Springer, Berlin, 1991); D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, *Introduction to Percolation Theory* (Taylor & Francis, London, 1992).
- [2] For a review on DP see, e.g., H. Hinrichsen, e-print cond-mat/0001070.
- [3] N. Van Lien and B. I. Shklovskii, *Solid State Commun.* **38**, 99 (1981).
- [4] J. Kertész and T. Vicsek, *J. Phys. C* **13**, L343 (1980).
- [5] See, e.g., A.-L. Barabasi *et al.*, in *Surface Disordering: Growth, Roughening, and Phase Transitions*, edited by R. Julien *et al.* (Nova Science, New York, 1992); S. Havlin *et al.*, in *Growth Patterns*, edited by J. M. Garcia-Ruiz *et al.* (Plenum, New York, 1993).
- [6] P. Grassberger, *J. Phys. A* **18**, L215 (1985).
- [7] K. Sneppen and M. H. Jensen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3833 (1993); **71**, 101 (1993); *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 919 (1994); P. Bak and K. Sneppen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 4083 (1993); M. Paczuski, S. Maslov, and P. Bak, *Europhys. Lett.* **27**, 97 (1994).
- [8] See the corresponding chapters in Refs. [1] and references therein.
- [9] O. Stenull, H. K. Janssen, and K. Oerding, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 4919 (1999).
- [10] H. K. Janssen, O. Stenull, and K. Oerding, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, R6239 (1999).
- [11] H. K. Janssen and O. Stenull, *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 4821 (2000).
- [12] O. Stenull, *Renormalized Field Theory of Random Resistor Networks* (Shaker, Aachen, 2000).
- [13] O. Stenull and H. K. Janssen, *Europhys. Lett.* **51**, 539 (2000).
- [14] S. Redner, *J. Phys. A* **14**, L349 (1981); *Phys. Rev. B* **25**, 3242 (1982).
- [15] S. Redner, in *Percolation Structures and Processes*, edited by G. Deutscher *et al.* (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1983).
- [16] RDN were already contained implicitly in the pioneering work

- of S. R. Broadbent and J. M. Hammersley on percolation, Proc. Am. Philos. Soc. **53**, 629 (1957).
- [17] H. K. Janssen and O. Stenull, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 3137 (2000).
- [18] M. J. Stephen, Phys. Rev. B **17**, 4444 (1978).
- [19] A. B. Harris, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 5056 (1987).
- [20] See, e.g., A. B. Harris and T. C. Lubensky, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 6964 (1987).
- [21] J. L. Cardy and R. L. Sugar, J. Phys. A **13**, L423 (1980).
- [22] H. K. Janssen, Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter **42**, 151 (1981).
- [23] H. K. Janssen, e-print cond-mat/0006129.
- [24] See, e.g., D. J. Amit, *Field Theory, the Renormalization Group, and Critical Phenomena* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1984); J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1989).
- [25] T. C. Lubensky and J. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 4998 (1985).
- [26] S. Redner and P. R. Mueller, Phys. Rev. B **26**, 5293 (1982).
- [27] B. M. Arora, M. Barma, D. Dhar, and M. K. Phani, J. Phys. C **16**, 2913 (1983).
- [28] See, e.g., J. Kertész and T. Vicsek, in *Fractals in Science*, edited by A. Bunde and S. Havlin (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
- [29] See, e.g., B. Hede, J. Kertész, and T. Vicsek, J. Stat. Phys. **64**, 829 (1991).